

# Communities of Opportunity Design Committee Meeting Notes

---

*May 28, 2014*

*Attendees: Sili Savusa, Matias Valenzuela, Hilary Franz, Michael Brown, Laurie Sylla, Judy de Barros, Aaron Robertson, Jennifer Martin, Nadine Chan, Kirsten Wysen, Kira Zylstra, Michael Woo, Dinah Wilson, Kristen Weber, Sarah Ross-Viles, Alice Ito, Holly Rohr Tran, Bao-Tram Do, Deanna Dawson.*

## **Intros.**

## **Ground rules**

## **Review of agenda**

### **COO Initiative – 1:50 p.m.**

See handout “Communities of Opportunity Update for Design Committee.” Feedback included:

- Funding committees:
  - Ensure there is a balance of community folks with TSF/KC folks.
  - Be sensitive about timeframe – consider interim indicators.
  - Amount of investment (dollars) that moves from downtown to the neighborhoods could be an indicator.
- Call out racial equity more explicitly throughout the materials
- Maps:
  - Map 1 reflects indicators we’d like to change.
  - Need language to tie the narrative together between the first and second maps to demonstrate our commitment to racial equity.

### **COO RFP Draft Selection Criteria 2:15**

See RFP Draft Selection Criteria (yellow handout). Feedback included:

- Scope of policy change efforts? Local, State, National?
  - For federal, would need to make sense - how is the local community engaged with the federal officials?
- Is the expectation that the policy, system or practice change happen during the grant period?  
Answer: No, just make progress on.
  - Define what we get out of that period.
  - Define up front before begin selection what are we buying with this money – what is the value-add we are seeking in those we choose to fund?
  - Specific feedback is noted via track changes and comments on pg. 1 & 2 of the document (file name COOHandoutSuggestedEdits.docx)

## BREAK

### Neighborhood/City Selection

Aaron Robertson noted that some equity discussions have happened recently in the community – see Equity Summit Discussion Overview (pink handout).

The group looked at the Neighborhood/City Selection Criteria and Process (reverse of yellow handout). Feedback included:

- The 2 rounds of investments need to work together – keep synergy in mind.
- We have been doing good things, let's move them forward.
- Be open to doing things differently, call out where change is needed.
- Consider how to maintain value of building relationships.
- Specific feedback is noted via track changes and comments on pg. 3 of the document (file name COOHandoutSuggestedEdits.docx)
- The "Suggested Process" was discussed in small groups, but due to insufficient time, was not discussed by the whole group, except for a brief reference to the fact that a release date of July 21 may not allow enough time for the Design Committee to work through/set up the process.

### Next Steps

- Meeting currently scheduled for July 21
- Another meeting will be scheduled for late June
  - Look for scheduling poll from Bao-Tram Do (Seattle Foundation)
  - Notes from today's discussion on the suggested process for place-based investments will be compiled, summarized and discussed more deeply at the June meeting.
- Populating review panel for place-based investments:
  - Conflict of Interest forms to be returned to Kirsten
  - Design Committee members willing to serve: Dinah Wilson, Deanna Dawson and Kira Zylstra
  - Ask members from the HHSTP Advising Partners Group, TSF's Center for Community Change board, and eastside community reps to serve
    - Consider learning curve and plan for catching non-Design Committee members up on what the Committee has worked through.